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- I could publish my results already back then, but I was (and still am) too "ambitious" to be content with an obviously incomplete database not representative enough of the diversity in the domain of my interest.
- My FRIAS scholarship allowed me to finally resume this work and I plan to make some progress, both empirical and conceptual.
- Your comments and advice will be most welcome!


## What it is all about

- Dependent-marking (flagging, DM) is morphological marking of participants expressed by nominals for the grammatical and/or semantic role they play in the sentence.
- Head-marking (indexing, HM) is morphological indexation on the predicate of such properties of participants as person, number and gender, as well as their grammatical and/or semantic role.

Nichols 1986, 1992, Lander \& Nichols 2020, Haspelmath 2013, 2019
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- They serve as typologically-grounded extensions of such notions as "case-marking" and "verbal agreement" or "cross-referencing", respectively.
- Both are grammatical mechanisms central for the encoding of syntactic and semantic relations in many languages of the world.
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$a-p h^{w} \partial s p a \quad a-c c^{\prime} k^{w}$ วn dz-l-ba-t DEF-girl DEF-boy 3SG.H.ABS-3SG.F.ERG-see-DCL 'The girl saw the boy.'

ABS - absolutive, DCL - declarative, ERG - ergative, H - human
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- DM and HM do not exclude each other and often co-occur in languages, both paradigmatically and syntagmatically, i.e. jointly applying to the same argument $\rightarrow$ double-marking.
(3) Gooniyandi (Bunaban, Australia; McGregor 1990: 322) nganyi-ngga mawoolyi-yoo mila-limi-widdangi 1SG-ERG children-LOC see-1SG.SBJ-3PL.OBJ
'I glanced at the children.'
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- This is particularly true about languages with rich headmarking (i.e. "polypersonal" indexing), which are often assumed to lack dependent-marking, at least of core arguments.
- E.g. Kibrik (2012: 213): "the head-marking technique of rolemarking is functionally equivalent to nominal cases".
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- Existing typological generalisations are few and pertain to:
- patterns of alignment in monotransitive and ditransitive constructions (Comrie 1978; Vakhtin \& Volodin 1986; Siewierska 2003; Haspelmath 2005; Malchukov et al. 2010);
- inverse preferences of HM and DM with respect to core vs. peripheral semantic roles (Nichols 1986);
- double-marking for agents, patients and recipients (Bakker \& Siewierska 2009, qualified in Arkadiev 2013, 2016);
- some rather bold claims within the generative framework, e.g. "NPs do not have grammatical Case in any polysynthetic language" (Baker 1996: 132) or "There is no true ergative agreement" (Woolford 2006: 304).
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- What (if anything) motivates rare patterns of interactions between HM and DM attested in individual languages and language families or areas?
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- The aims of my project:
- to empirically investigate the distribution of DM in languages with rich HM on the basis of a truly representative sample;
- to arrive at a comprehensive typology of the interactions of DM and HM, with attention to both cross-linguistically recurring and rare patterns;
- to try to uncover functional, diachronic and areal motivations behind these patterns and their distribution.
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- Why is it important?
- DM and HM belong to the core grammatical mechanisms of the human language.
- However, most grammatical theorizing so far has been based on the European languages, which are predominantly DM.
- At the same time, a whole line of research within both functionalist (e.g. Van Valin 1985, 2013; Kibrik 2012) and formalist (e.g. Jelinek 1984; Jelinek \& Demers 1994; Baker 1996) traditions has emphasized the sharp contrast between DM- and HM-languages, downplaying the fact that DM and HM often co-occur.
- Both types of bias have to be overcome in order for an empirically adequate typology and theory of grammatical relations to be possible (cf. e.g. Witzlack-Makarevich \& Bickel 2019).
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- I am constructing a typological database that should contain information about as many language families/genera with languages showing both rich HM and DM as is reasonably possible:
- a convenience sample representative of cross-linguistic diversity and aiming to cover typologically rare phenomena;
- no exclusion of closely related languages, since familyinternal variation and possible diachronic developments should also be captured;
- available, readable, sufficiently detailed and realiable sources are crucial.
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- indexes must be able to co-occur with overt co-nominals ("grammatical", but not "anaphoric" agreement, Bresnan \& Mchombo 1986; "tenacious", but not "alternating" bound pronominals, Kibrik 2011);
- at least some $3^{\text {rd }}$ person objects ( $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{R}$ etc.) must have overt indexes;
- not limited to verbal affixes: e.g. Wackernagel clitics are also included ("construction-marking", Lander \& Nichols 2020).
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- Criteria of inclusion for DM:
- only clause-level DM is considered;
- need not necessarily distinguish between core syntactic relations (S, A, P): 'peripheral' (or 'borderline', Iggesen 2013) case-systems are also of interest;
- preference given to more grammaticalised systems, but not necessarily to "morphological case" as traditionally defined; criterion of a well-defined closed class of markers is more important;
- all languages where any type of DM (including adpositions) is able to co-occur with HM, are included.
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- Information included into the database:
- metadata (languoid name, glottocode, macroarea, family and genus according to Glottolog, sources);
- number of participants simultaneously indexed by HM;
- number of distinct DM-constructions (e.g. morphological cases): not always easy to determine and probably not so relevant;
- alignment(s) of HM and DM in monotransitive and ditransitive constructions;
- distribution of HM and DM (complementarity vs. co-occurrence);
- patterns of double-marking (e.g. which morphological cases allow simultaneous indexing);
- presence of valency-changing mechanisms affecting HM and DM, in particular, applicatives.
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## Database and sample

- Current state of the database:
- the bulk of the data was collected in 2009-2011, especially during my research stay at the Max-Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology in Leipzig in 2010;
- some random additions since then;
- NB new grammars have been published for some languages;
- 190 entries, some of them just "placeholders";
- 132 entries after revision and cleaning last week, for which I think I have sufficient reliable information;
- all macroareas, 51 family ( 83 genera) +14 isolates .
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## Database and sample

| Macroarea | No. languages | No. families <br> (genera) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Africa | 10 | $3(7)$ |
| Eurasia | 37 | $11(22)$ |
| Australia | 38 | $17(27)$ |
| Oceania | 26 | $16(20)$ |
| North America | 10 | $8(10)$ |
| South America | 11 | $11(11)$ |

NB Semitic (Afroasiatic) in both Africa and Eurasia

## Database and sample

- Clear bias towards (Northern) Australia and Papua

Map created with Lingtypology (Moroz 2017)


## Database and sample

- Some better-represented language families:
- Afroasiatic 9
- Pama-Nyungan 9
- Indo-European 8
- Nuclear Trans-New-Guinean 7
- Gunwinyguan 6
- Kartvelian 5
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- In my presentation of quantitative data, l'll give numbers not only of languages, but also of genera and families (cf. Dryer 2000).
- NB! Families and genera are counted several times if they contain languages with differing values of a parameter.
- Still, a better balance should be achieved:
- better representation of certain areas and families (e.g. Austronesian);
- a subsample with some kind of genealogical stratification (e.g. Miestamo et al. 2016).
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## Some quantitative observations

- Number of (overtly marked) cases in the languages of the sample:

| No. | languages | families | genera | example |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $1-2$ | 18 | 12 | 15 | Yimas |
| $3-4$ | 17 | 13 | 15 | Nobiin |
| $5-6$ | 25 | 16 | 22 | Albanian |
| $7-8$ | 23 | 17 | 20 | Manambu |
| $>8$ | 30 | 17 | 22 | Uchumataqu |
| unclear or <br> n/a | 19 | 11 | 12 | Macedonian |

## Some quantitative observations

- Number of (overtly marked) cases in the languages of the sample:

| No. | languages | families | genera | example |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-2 | 18 | 12 | 15 | Yimas |
| 3-4 | 17 | 13 | 15 | Nobiin |
| 5-6 | 25 | 16 | 22 | Albanian |
| 7-8 | 23 | 17 | 20 | Manambu |
| >8 | 30 | 17 | 22 | Uchumataqu |
| unclear or | 19 | 11 | 12 | Macedonian |
| NB! Families/genera are counted more than once if they contain languages with differing values of a parameter. |  |  |  |  |
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- The world-wide data from WALS on number of cases (Iggesen 2013) combined with the data on verbal person marking (Siewierska 2013) is, unfortunately, not directly comparable due to diverging definitions of case.
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| 3 | 23 | 16 | 21 | Basque |
| $>3$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | Abaza |

(4) Abaza (textual example):

$$
j-\hat{s} \partial-z-j-a ́-s-h^{w}-\dot{p}
$$

3SG.N.ABS-2PL.IO-BEN-3SG.M.IO-DAT-1SG.ERG-say-NPST.DCL 'I will tell this to him about you.'

ABS - absolutive, BEN - benefactive applicative, DAT - dative applicative, IO - indirect object, N - non-human, NPST - non-past

## Some quantitative observations

- Maximal number of simultaneously indexed participants:

| No. | languages | families | genera | example |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 106 | 51 | 78 | Ket |
| 3 | 23 | 16 | 21 | Basque |
| $>3$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | Abaza |

(4) Abaza (textual example):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j-\hat{s} \partial-Z-j-\dot{a}-s-h^{w}-\dot{p} \\
& \text { 3SG.N.ABS-2PL.IO-BEN-3SG.M.IO-DAT-1SG.ERG-say-NPST.DCL } \\
& \text { 'I will tell this to him about you.' }
\end{aligned}
$$

ABS - absolutive, BEN - benefactive applicative, DAT - dative applicative, IO - indirect object, N - non-human, NPST - non-past
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## Some quantitative observations

- Monotransitive alignment (differential accusative/optional ergative marking merged with accusative resp. ergative):

| type | languages | families | genera | example |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| neutral | 41 | 31 | 35 | Mapudungun |
| accusative | 30 | 17 | 25 | Amharic |
| marked-nominative | 3 | 3 | 3 | Kaki Ae |
| ergative | 45 | 28 | 38 | Chukchi |
| active | 4 | 4 | 4 | Nyigina |
| tripartite | 4 | 3 | 3 | Yakima |
| no dominant | 5 | 3 | 5 | Svan |
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## Some quantitative observations

- For comparison, the world-wide distribution of monotransitive alignments (WALS, Comrie 2013):

| type | total lgs. | lgs. indexing <br> both $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| neutral | 98 | 60 |
| accusative | 46 | 13 |
| marked-nominative | 6 | 4 |
| ergative | 32 | 17 |
| active | 4 | 2 |
| tripartite | 4 | 1 |

## Some quantitative observations

- For comparison, the world-wide distribution of monotransitive alignments (WALS, Comrie 2013):

| type | total lgs. | lgs. indexing <br> both $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{P}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| neutral | 98 | 60 |
| accusative | 46 | 13 |
| marked-nominative | 6 | 4 |
| ergative | No statistically significant <br> differences apart from a | 17 |
| active | higher preference for |  |
| tripartite | neutral alignment at the <br> expence of the accusative | 1 |

## Some quantitative observations

- Number of overt flagging-types that can be simultaneously indexed:

| No. | languages | families | genera | example |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 0 | 30 | 22 | 25 | Alamblak |
| 1 | 45 | 28 | 39 | Cahuilla |
| 2 | 31 | 20 | 28 | Maithili |
| 3 | 18 | 11 | 15 | Molalla |
| 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | Pintupi |
| 5 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Panará |
| 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Djaru |

## Number of "indexed flags"



## Number of "indexed flags"

Map created with Lingtypology (Moroz 2017)

Indexing of 4 or more different cases is found (so far with one exception) exclusively in Australia and New Guinea

## Some quantitative observations

- Which overt flagging-types are indexed (NB I count "cases", not semantic roles, but labels are - as far as possible - role-based):

| flagging-type | languages | families | genera | example |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| ergative | 52 | 26 | 40 | Tauya |
| dative | 41 | 18 | 28 | Maltese |
| accusative | 23 | 15 | 22 | Moksha |
| spatial | 20 | 13 | 16 | Ungarinjin |
| objective | 13 | 8 | 11 | Georgian |
| nominative/ <br> absolutive | 10 | 6 | 7 | Aleut |
| other | 25 | 22 | 25 | Pintupi |

## Some quantitative observations

- Which overt flagging-types are indexed (NB I count "cases", not semantic roles, but labels are - as far as possible - role-based):

| flagging-type | languages | families | genera | example |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| ergative | 52 | 26 | 40 | Tauya |
| dative | 41 | 18 | 28 | Maltese |
| accusative | 23 | 15 | 22 | Moksha |
| spatial | 20 | 13 | 16 | Ungarinjin |
| objective | 13 | 8 | 11 | Georgian |
| nominative/ <br> absolutive <br> other | 10 |  |  | "Avoidance" case |

## Indexation of "weird" cases

- Pintupi (Pama-Nyungan > Desert Nyungic; Hansen \& Hansen 1978: 61)
(5) malaku=latju-tjanampalura pitjangu return=1PL.EX.SBJ-3PL.AV went malpu-ngkamarra patjal-tjakumarra spirit-AV biting-AV
'We turned back to avoid the spirits biting us.'

AV - avoidance, EX - exclusive

## Indexation of spatial cases

Map created with Lingtypology (Moroz 2017)
indexation of spatial cases
TRUE

| 5000 km |
| :--- |
| 3000 mi |

## Indexation of spatial cases

Map created with Lingtypology (Moroz 2017)
indexation of spatial cases TRUE

Yet another salient (Northern) Australian feature, largely neglected in typology

| 5000 km |
| :--- |
| 3000 mi |

## Indexation of spatial cases

Map created with Lingtypology (Moroz 2017)


## Indexation of spatial cases

- Djaru (Pama-Nyungan > Desert Nyungic)
(6) yafu ja=na=nanda jan-i mawun-dawu. 1SG.ABS AUX=1SG.NOM=3SG.OBL go-PST man-ALLAT 'I went to a man.' (Tsunoda 1981: 104)
(7) mawun $\eta a=\eta g u w u l a l a ~ w u n a j a n-i ~ n u n b u l a \eta i n-ŋ и . ~$ man AUX=2DU.OBL away go-PST 2DU-ABL
'A man went away from you (two)' (ibid.: 115)


## Some quantitative observations

- Some further aspects omitted here:
- alignment in ditransitive constructions; mornhological status of indeves (affives, clitics, free
words): should be defined in a meaningful way first; marking (head-, dependent-, double-) of particular semantic relations: not vet systematically coded, on $\mathrm{m} y$
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## Some quantitative observations

- Some further aspects omitted here:
- alignment of HM;
- alignment in ditransitive constructions;
- morphological status of indexes (affixes, clitics, free words): should be defined in a meaningful way first;
- marking (head-, dependent-, double-) of particular semantic relations: not yet systematically coded, on my to-do-list.
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## The typology

- A preliminary classification into three major types:
- complementary (A): overt DM is incompatible with HM, e.g. Yimas;
- harmonic (B): particular patterns of HM and DM match each other to a significant degree, e.g. Modern Greek;
- disharmonic (C): DM and HM show systematic mismatches and operate largely independently of each other, e.g. Burushaski.


## The typology

- Complementary systems

|  | DM | HM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| role 1 | yes | no |
| role 2 | yes | no |
| role 3 | no | yes |
| role 4 | no | yes |

## The typology

- Harmonic systems

| DM |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| role 1 | no |
| role 2 |  |
| role 3 |  |
| role 4 |  |

## The typology

- Disharmonic systems

|  | DM | HM |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| role 1 | $d 1$ | h1 |
| role 2 | $d 2$ | h1 |
| role 3 | $d 2$ | h2 |
| role 4 | $d 3$ | h2 or no |

## The typology

- Disharmonic systems



## The typology

- Some caveats:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { idealised and will be revised and probably even refuted; } \\
& \text { it is particularly hard to draw a clear boundary between } \\
& \text { the harmonic and the disharmonic types, e.g. because } \\
& \text { many languages combine more or less (dis)harnomic } \\
& \text { subsystems; }
\end{aligned}
$$

transitional cases abound, especially between the complementary and the other two types.
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## The typology

- Some caveats:
- the proposed types are to a considerable degree idealised and will be revised and probably even refuted;
- it is particularly hard to draw a clear boundary between the harmonic and the disharmonic types, e.g. because many languages combine more or less (dis)harnomic subsystems;
- transitional cases exist, especially between the complementary and the other two types.


## The typology

- Distribution (languages):

|  | Complementary | Harmonic | Disharmonic | Transitional <br> or unclear |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Eurasia | 7 | 14 | 15 | 1 |
| Australia | 3 | 3 | 25 | 7 |
| Oceania | 9 | 7 | 8 | 2 |
| N.America | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| S.America | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Total | 30 | 35 | 55 | 12 |

## The typology

The otherwise dominant disharmonic type is marginal in Africa

- Distribution (languages):

|  | Complementary | Harmonic | Disho | onic | Transitional <br> or unclear |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Eurasia | 7 | 14 | 15 | 1 |  |
| Australia | 3 | 3 | 25 | 7 |  |
| Oceania | 9 | 7 | 8 | 2 |  |
| N.America | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  |
| S.America | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |
| Total | 30 | 35 | 55 | 12 |  |

## The typology

- Distribution (languages):


## The harmonic type is better represented in Eurasia

|  | Complementary | Harmon | fisharmonic | Transitional <br> or unclear |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 |
| Eurasia | 7 | 14 | 15 | 1 |
| Australia | 3 | 3 | 25 | 7 |
| Oceania | 9 | 7 | 8 | 2 |
| N.America | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| S.America | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Total | 30 | 35 | 55 | 12 |

## The typology

- Distribution (languages):

The disharmonic type is exceptionally frequent in

|  | Complementary | Harmonic |  | rmonic | Transitional <br> or unclear |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Eurasia | 7 | 14 | 15 | 1 |  |
| Australia | 3 | 3 | 25 | 7 |  |
| Oceania | 9 | 7 | 8 | 2 |  |
| N.America | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 |  |
| S.America | 3 | 4 | 3 | 1 |  |
| Total | 30 | 35 | 55 | 12 |  |

## The typology

- Distribution (languages):

|  | Complementary | Harmonic | Disharmonic | Transitional <br> or unclear |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Africa | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Eurasia | 7 | 14 | 15 | 1 |  |
| Australia | 3 | 3 | 25 | 7 |  |
| Oceania | 9 | 7 | 2 | 8 | 3 |
| N.America | 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| S.America | 30 |  | The complementary type is <br> better represented in Oceania <br> and North America |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

## Distribution of the types



## Distribution of the types



## Distribution of the types



## The typology

- Family-internal stability vs. variability of types:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Kamchatkan, Sino-Tibetan (disharmonic); } \\
& \text { "inconsistent" families: Afro-Asiatic (but Semitic consistently } \\
& \text { harmonic), Northwest Caucasian, Nuclear Trans-New- } \\
& \text { Guinean; } \\
& \text { families/genera with one type clearly dominant: Munda } \\
& \text { (complementary), Uralic (harmonic), Gunwinyguan, } \\
& \text { Kartvelian, Pama-Nyungan (disharmonic). }
\end{aligned}
$$

## The typology

- Family-internal stability vs. variability of types:
- "consistent" families: Indo-European (harmonic), ChukotkoKamchatkan, Sino-Tibetan (disharmonic);
harmonic), Northwest Caucasian, Nuclear Trans-New-
Guinean;
families/genera with one type clearly dominant: Munda
(complementary), Uralic (harmonic), Gunwinyguan,
Kartvelian, Pama-Nyungan (disharmonic).
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## The typology

- Family-internal stability vs. variability of types:
- "consistent" families: Indo-European (harmonic), ChukotkoKamchatkan, Sino-Tibetan (disharmonic);
- "inconsistent" families: Afro-Asiatic (but Semitic consistently harmonic), Northwest Caucasian, Nuclear Trans-NewGuinean;
- families/genera with one type clearly dominant: Munda (complementary), Uralic (harmonic), Gunwinyguan, Kartvelian, Pama-Nyungan (disharmonic).


## The typology

- Northwest Caucasian:
(8) Abkhaz (Hewitt 1979: 36)

$a-\chi a ́ c ̧ a ~ a-p h^{w}$ ás $a-s^{w} \dot{q}^{w}$ á lá-j-te-jt
ART-man ART-woman ART-book 3SG.F.IO-3SG.M.ERG-give-DCL
'The man gave the book to the woman.'
(9) West Circassian (constructed)

man-OBL woman-OBL book-ABS 3SG.IO-3SG.ERG-give-PST
'The man gave the book to the woman.'

ART - article, OBL - oblique case
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'The man gave the book to the woman.'
(9) West Circassian (constructed)

man-OBL woman-OBL book-ABS 3SG.IO-3SG.ERG-give-PST
'The man gave the book to the woman.'

ART - article, OBL - oblique case

## The typology

- Northwest Caucasian:
(8)Abkhaz (Hewitt 1979: 36)

$a-\chi a ́ c ̧ a ~ a-p h w a ́ s \quad a-s{ }^{2} w \dot{q}^{w}$ á lá-j-te-jt
ART-man ART-woman ARTolv 3SG.F.IO-3SG.M.ERG-give-DCL
'The man gave the book to the wormar,
(9) West Circassian (constructed)
'The man gave the book to the woman.'

ART - article, OBL - oblique case

## The typology

- Northwest Caucasian:
(8)Abkhaz (Hewitt 1979: 36)

$a-\chi a ́ c ̧ a ~ a-p h w a ́ s ~ a-s c^{w} \dot{q}^{w}$ á lá-j-te-jt
ART-man ART-woman ARTol 3SG.F.IO-3SG.M.ERG-give-DCL
'The man gave the book to the wormarm
(9) West Circassian (constructed)

Complementary

man-OBL woman-OBL book-ABS 3SG.IO-3SG.ERG-give-PST
'The man gave the book to trowoman.'

## Harmonic

ART - article, OBL - oblique case

## Complementary type

- Complementary or nearly complementary distribution of flagging and indexing.
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- Alignment of core flagging neutral (by definition).
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- Yimas (Lower Sepik-Ramu, Papua New Guinea)
- HM for core participants:
- S of an intransitive verb (Foley 1986: 94)
(10) narman na-pu-t
woman 3SG.S-go-PRF
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## Complementary type

- Yimas (Lower Sepik-Ramu, Papua New Guinea)
- HM for core participants:
- S of an intransitive verb (Foley 1986: 94)
(10) narman na-pu-t
woman 3SG.S-go-PRF
'The woman went.'

PRF - perfect


## Complementary type

- Yimas (Lower Sepik-Ramu, Papua New Guinea)
- HM for core participants:
- A and P of a monotransitive verb (Foley 1986: 94)
(11) narmaŋ urank ki-n-am-it
woman coconut 3SG.P-3SG.A-eat-PRF
'The woman ate the coconut.'


## Complementary type

- Yimas (Lower Sepik-Ramu, Papua New Guinea)
- HM for core participants:
- A, T and R of ditransitive verbs (Foley 1986: 94)
(12) namat urank narman ki-n-na-r-umpun man.PL coconut woman 3SG.P-3SG.A-give-PRF-3PL.R 'The woman gave the coconut to the men.'


## Complementary type

- Yimas (Lower Sepik-Ramu, Papua New Guinea)
- The Oblique case for peripheral participants:
- location (Foley 1991: 165)
(13) tnumut-nan ama-na-irm-n
sago_palms-OBL 1SG.S-ASP-stand-PRS
'I am standing at the two sago palms.'

ASP - aspect marker, PRS - present

## Complementary type

- Yimas (Lower Sepik-Ramu, Papua New Guinea)
- The Oblique case for peripheral participants:
- time (Foley 1991: 169)
(14) tmat-лan nma-kay-wark-wat
day-OBL house-1PL.A-build-HAB 'We always build a house during the day.'

HAB - habitual

## Complementary type

- Yimas (Lower Sepik-Ramu, Papua New Guinea)
- The Oblique case for peripheral participants:
- instrument (Foley 1991: 165)
(15) tktntrm-nan namarawt na-ŋa-tpul
chair.DU-OBL person 3SG.A-1SG.P-hit
'The person hit me with two chairs.'


## Complementary type

- Yimas (Lower Sepik-Ramu, Papua New Guinea)
- Valency-alternations are particularly telling (Foley 1991: 299-300):
(16) a. ikn-an antki ya-urkpwica-t
smoke-OBL thatch.PL 3PI.S-blacken-PRF
'The roof got blackened from the smoke.'
b. ikn antki ya-n-tal-urkpwica-t smoke thatch.PL 3PL.P-3SG.A-CAUS-blacken-PRF 'Smoke blackened the roof.'

CAUS - causative
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CAUS - causative
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- Yimas (Lower Sepik-Ramu, Papua New Guinea)
- Valency-alternations are particularly telling (Foley 1991: 299-300):
(16) a. ikn-an antki ya-urkpwica-t smoke-OBL thatch.PL 3PI.S-blacken-PRF 'The roof got blackened from the smoke.'
b. ikn antki ya-n-tal-urkpwica-t smoke thatch.PL 3PL.P-3SG.A-CAUS-blacken-PRF 'Smoke blackened the roof.'

CAUS - causative

## Complementary type

- Languages of this type can also have rich case systems.
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## Complementary type

- Ket case system (Georg 2007: 103-104):

|  | Sg Masculine | Sg Feminine | Pl animate | Pl inanimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nominative | $\emptyset$ |  |  |  |
| Genitive | -da | -di | -na | -di |
| Dative | -dana | -dina | -naja | -dina |
| Benefactive | -data | -dita | -nata | -dita |
| Ablative | -danal | -dinal | -napal | -dinal |
| Adessive | -darta | -dinta | -napta | -dinta |
| Locative | $n / a$ | $-k a$ | $n / a$ | -ka |
| Prosecutive | -bes |  |  |  |
| Instrumental | -as |  |  |  |
| Abessive | -an |  |  |  |
| Translative | -esan 163 |  |  |  |

## Complementary type

- Ket case system (Georg 2007: 103-104):

|  | Sg Masculine | Sg Feminine | Pl animate | Pl inanimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Domain of head-marking |  |  |  |  |
| Genitive | -da | -di | -na | -di |
| Dative | -dana | -dina | -napa | -dina |
| Benefactive | -data | -dita | -nata | -dita |
| Ablative | -danal | -dinal | -nanal | -dinal |
| Adessive | -danta | -dinta | -napta | -dinta |
| Locative | $n / a$ | -ka | $n / a$ | -ka |
| Prosecutive | -bes |  |  |  |
| Instrumental | -as |  |  |  |
| Abessive | -an |  |  |  |
| Translative | -esan 164 |  |  |  |

## Complementary type

- Ket (Yeniseian, Russia; Vajda 2004: 82)
(17) ām dílgàt súùl-as da-ón-d-p-tan
mother kids sled-INS 3SG.F.SBJ-3AN.PL.O-across-APPL-drag 'The mother takes her kids by sled.'
(18) q$\ddagger \neq m$ tēt qímd $\grave{l} \quad$ da-ó-v-ij-aq
wife husband woman.child 3SG.F.SBJ-3M.O-APPL-PST-give 'She gave her husband a baby girl.'

AN - animate, APPL - applicative, INS - instrumental

## Complementary type

- Ket (Yeniseian, Russia; Vajda 2004: 82)
(17) ām dílgàt súùl-as da-ón-d-p-tan
mother kids sled-INS 3SG.F.SBJ-3AN.PL.O-across-APPL-drag 'The mother takes her kids by sled.'
(18) qఫ̄m tēt qímd $\grave{l} \quad$ da-ó-v-ij-aq
wife husband won $\quad$ n.child 3SG.F.SBJ-3M.O-APPL-PST-give 'She gave her hus nd a baby girl.'

A participant devoid of either flagging or indexing

AN - animate, APPL - applicative, INS - instrumental

## Complementary type leaks

- Optional overt flagging of some core participants in languages with otherwise complementary DM and HM.

Instrumental may be used to mark transitive Agents,
especially inanimate (18a) or when ambiguity may arise (18b)
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- Bininj Gun-wok (Gunwinyguan, Australia): Ablative and Instrumental may be used to mark transitive As, especially inanimate (19a) or when ambiguity may arise (19b).


## Complementary type leaks

- Optional overt flagging of some core participants in languages with otherwise complementary DM and HM.
- Bininj Gun-wok (Gunwinyguan, Australia): Ablative and Instrumental may be used to mark transitive As, especially inanimate (19a) or when ambiguity may arise (19b).
(19) a. gubunj-be ba-gubunj-djirrkka-ng. canoe-ABL 3SG>3SG-canoe-push-PST.PRF 'One canoe pushed another.' (Evans 2003: 138)
b. Kodjok bi-karrme-ng Kamarrang-yih. kin_name 3SG>3SG-grab-PST.PRF kin_name-INS 'Kamarrang grabbed Kodjok.' (ibid.: 140)


## Complementary type leaks

- Optional overt flagging of some core participants in languages with otherwise complementary DM and HM.
- Yurakaré (isolate, Bolivia; van Gijn 2005: 60): objects introduced by the comitative applicative and indexed by object prefixes may optionally retain postpositional marking.


## Complementary type leaks

- Optional overt flagging of some core participants in languages with otherwise complementary DM and HM.
- Yurakaré (isolate, Bolivia; van Gijn 2005: 60): objects introduced by the comitative applicative and indexed by object prefixes may optionally retain postpositional marking.
(20) më-jti lëtta-m ku-winani-shta-m

2SG-only one-2SG.SBJ 3SG.OBJ+APPL-walk-FUT-2SG.SBJ mi-ye=tina.
2SG-sister-COM
'You will be the only one that is going to live [sic!] together with your sister.'

## Harmonic type

- One-to-one or one-to-many correspondences between HM and DM.
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- One-to-one or one-to-many correspondences between HM and DM.
- Predominantly accusative alignment of flagging (25/35):
- in this type alignments of DM and HM must be identical (otherwise mismatch);
- alignment of indexing is well-known to tend towards accusativity (e.g. Siewierska 2013).
- Particularly well-attested in Western Eurasia and East Africa (Indo-European and Afro-Asiatic).


## Harmonic type

- One-to-one or one-to-many correspondences between HM and DM.
- Predominantly accusative alignment of flagging (25/35):
- in this type alignments of DM and HM must be identical (otherwise mismatch);
- alignment of indexing is well-known to tend towards accusativity (e.g. Siewierska 2013).
- Particularly well-attested in Western Eurasia and East Africa (Indo-European and Afro-Asiatic).


## Harmonic type

Map created with Lingtypology (Moroz 2017)


## Harmonic type

- Romanian (Indo-European > Romance; Mallinson 1987)

| role | flagging | indexing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S/A | NOM (often zero) | SBJ |
| indefinite $P$ | NOM (often zero) | no |
| definite $P$ | pe | DO |
| R | DAT | IO |

## Harmonic type

- Romanian (Indo-European > Romance)
(21) a.

| Ana l-a | văz-ut pe | Radu. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ana.NOM 3SG.DO-AUX.3SG.SBJ | see-PTCP ACC | Radu |

'Anna saw Radu.' (Mallinson 1987: 207)
b. Băiat-ul-ui i-a-m da-t un cadou. boy-DEF-DAT 3SG.IO-AUX-1SG.SBJ give-PTCP INDEF present 'I gave the boy a present.' (ibid.: 209)

AUX - auxiliary, DO - direct object, PTCP - participle

## Harmonic type

- Romanian (Indo-European > Romance)
(21) a.
Ana I-a
văz-ut pe Radu.
Ana.NOM 3SG.DO-AUX.3SG.SBJ see-PTCP ACC Radu
'Anna saw Radu.' (Mallinson 1987: 207)
b. Băiat-ul-ui i-a-m da-t un cadou. boy-DEF-DAT 3SG.IO-AUX-1SG.SBJ give-PTCP INDEF present 'I gave the boy a present.' (ibid.: 209)

Systems like Romanian, where a
prominent (animate and/or definite) P is simultaneously flagged and indexed, are quite widespread

## Harmonic type

- Macedonian (Indo-European > Slavic; Lunt 1952, MišeskaTomić 2012)

| role | flagging | indexing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S/A | zero | SBJ |
| indefinite P | zero | no |
| definite P | zero | DO |
| R | na | IO |
| various | prepositions | IO (optional) |

## Harmonic type

- Macedonian (Indo-European > Slavic; Lunt 1952, MišeskaTomić 2012)

| role | flagging | indexing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S/A | zero | SBJ |
| indefinite P | zero | no |
| definite P | zero | DO |
| R | na | IO |
| various | prepositions | IO (optional) |

## Many-to-one relations

## Harmonic type

- Macedonian:
(22) Jana mu=go=dad-e pismo-to Jana 3SG.M.IO=3SG.M.DO=give-AOR.3SG.SBJ letter-DEF na edno dete.
DAT one child
AOR - aorist
'Jana gave the letter to a child (that I know).'
(Mišeska-Tomić 2006: 255)
come.out-AOR.3PL.SBJ
kaj adži
to Haji cat-DEF
'The rats came out in crowds and went to Haji Cat...' (Lunt 1952: 108)


## Harmonic type

- Macedonian:
(22) Jana mu=go=dad-e pismo-to Jana 3SG.M.IO=3SG.M.DO=give-AOR.3SG.SBJ letter-DEF na edno dete.
DAT one child
AOR - aorist
'Jana gave the letter to a child (that I know).'
(Mišeska-Tomić 2006: 255)
(23) Naizlego-a gluvc-i i mu=pojdo-a come.out-AOR.3PL.SBJ rat-PL and 3SG.M.IO=go-AOR.3PL.SBJ kaj adži mačor-ot...
to Haji cat-DEF
'The rats came out in crowds and went to Haji Cat...'
(Lunt 1952: 108)


## Harmonic type leaks

- Amharic (Afro-Asiatic > Semitic; Ethiopia):

| role | flagging | indexing |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| S/A | no | SBJ |
| indefinite $P$ | no | no |
| definite $P$ | ACC | (OBJ) |
| R | ACC/DAT | OBJ |
| benefactive | DAT | DAT+OBJ |
| instrument | INS | INS+OBJ |

## Harmonic type leaks

- Amharic (Afro-Asiatic > Semitic; Ethiopia):
(24) a. lämma țärmus-u-n säbbär-ä-w.

Lemma bottle-DEF-ACC break:PST-3SG.M.SBJ-3SG.M.OBJ
'Lemma broke the bottle.' (Amberber 2005: 299)
b. lä-lağ-u bet-u-n asayy-ä-w.

DAT-child-DEF.M house-DEF.M-ACC showed-3SG.M.SBJ-3SG.M.OBJ
'He showed the house to the child.' (Leslau 1995: 893)
c. annatəyya-walä-lağo-čč-əwa
mother-DEF.F DAT-child-PL-3SG.F.POSS sugar.cane stalk gäzza-čč-all-aččäw.
buy.PST-3SG.SBJ-BEN-3PL.OBJ
'The mother bought sugar cane for her children.'
(ibid.: 429-430)

## Harmonic type leaks

- Amharic (Afro-Asiatic > Semitic; Ethiopia):
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Enough many-to-many correspondences to be considered disharmonic?

## Disharmonic type

- Many-to-many correspondences between DM and HM, which work largely independently of each other.
concentrated in Australia and New Guinea.
- $\Delta$ nredominance of ergative alignment in flageing (43/56):
- indexing tends to accusativity;
- hence, most languages with accusative flagging fall into the harmonic tvpe;
- ergative flagging + accusative indexing = mismatch
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## Disharmonic type

- Many-to-many correspondences between DM and HM, which work largely independently of each other.
- The most widespread and varied type, especially densely concentrated in Australia and New Guinea.
- A predominance of ergative alignment in flagging (43/56):
- indexing tends to accusativity;
- hence, most languages with accusative flagging fall into the harmonic type;
- ergative flagging + accusative indexing $=$ mismatch.


## Disharmonic type

Map created with Lingtypology (Moroz 2017)
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## Disharmonic type

- Common sources of flagging-indexing mismatches:
> - co-occurrence of ergative flagging and accusative indexing in monotransitive constructions; - co-nccurrence of indirective flagoing and secundative indexing in ditransitive constructions (Haspelmath 2005; Malchukov et al. 2010);
> - often in the same languase.
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## Disharmonic type

- Common sources of flagging-indexing mismatches:
- co-occurrence of ergative flagging and accusative indexing in monotransitive constructions;
- co-occurrence of indirective flagging and secundative indexing in ditransitive constructions (Haspelmath 2005; Malchukov et al. 2010);
- often in the same language.


## Disharmonic type

- Burushaski (isolate, Pakistan; Munshi 2006)

| role | flagging | indexing |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| S | no | suffix(+prefix) |
| A | OBL | suffix |
| P | no | prefix (if animate) |
| R | DAT | prefix (if animate) |

Map created with Lingtypo ogy (Moroz 2017.)
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- Burushaski (isolate, Pakistan; Munshi 2006)



## Disharmonic type

- Burushaski (isolate, Pakistan)
(25) a. in mu-val-umo.

3SG 3SG.F.ABS-fall.PST-3SG.F.SBJ
'She fell down.' (Munshi 2006: 132)
b. salim-e huma mu-ye:c-imi.

Salim-OBL Huma 3SG.F.ABS-see.PST-3SG.M.SBJ
'Salim (M) saw Huma (F).' (ibid.: 135)
c. in-e in-e-re kita:b-an e:-ć-umo.

3SG-OBL 3SG-OBL-DAT book-INDF 3SG.M.ABS-give-3SG.F.SBJ
'She gave him a book.' (ibid. : 139)

## Disharmonic type

- Mismatches between flagging and indexing need not necessarily involve "alignment splits".
- Nyigina (Nyulnyulan, Australia; Stokes 1982):
for subjects, both HM and DM show "agentive/patientive" alignment, but the factors are different;
- for objects, both HM and DM are semantically motivated, but the factors are again different.


## Disharmonic type

- Mismatches between flagging and indexing need not necessarily involve "alignment splits".
- Nyigina (Nyulnyulan, Australia; Stokes 1982):
- for subjects, both HM and DM show "agentive/patientive" alignment, but the factors are different;
- for objects, both HM and DM are semantically motivated, but the factors are again different.

Map created with Lingtypology (Moroz 2017)

## Disharmonic type

- Nyigina (Nyulnyulan, Australia; Stokes 1982: 258-259):
(26) a. wamba-ni yin-marra-n wali. man-ERG 3SG.A-burn-PRS meat 'The man is cooking the meat.'
b. dyungu-ni yi-marra-n wali. fire-ERG 3SG.S-burn-PRS meat 'The fire is cooking the meat.'
c. dyungu yi-marra-n.
fire 3SG.S-burn-PRS
'The fire is burning.'


## Disharmonic type

- Nyigina (Nyulnyulan, Australia; Stokes 1982: 258-259):
(26) a. wamba-ni yin-marra-n wali. man-ERG 3SG.A-burn-PRS meat 'The man is cooking the meat.'
b. dyungu-ni yi-marra-n fire-ERG wou.s-burn-PRS meat 'The fire is cooking the meat.'
c. dyungu yi-marra-n.
fire 3SG.S-burn-PRS
'The fire is burning.'


## Disharmonic type

- Nyigina (Nyulnyulan, Australia; Stokes 1982: 258-259):
(26) a. wamba-ni yin-marra-n wali.
man-ERG 3SG.A-burn-PRS meat 'The man is cooking the meat.'
b. dyungu-ni yi-marra-n

Ergative flagging occurs when a "second entity is significantly affected by the activity" (ibid.: 130) fire-ERG JJo.s-ourn-PRS 'The fire is cooking the meat.
c. dyungu yi-marra-n.
fire 3SG.S-burn-PRS
'The fire is burning.'

Agentive indexing occurs when the subject shows a "degree of control over the activity" (ibid.: 260)

## Disharmonic type

- Nyigina (Nyulnyulan, Australia; Stokes 1982):
(27) a.
yin-alga-na-da-yirr wamba manin.
3SG.A-eat-PST-HAB-3NSG.O man woman
'He used to kill them, men and women.' (ibid.: 391)
b. gadady yi-na-yina ginya wamba.
search 3SG.A-PST-3SG.IO DEM man
'He searched for that man [in vain].' (ibid.: 78)
c. gadady yi-na-yina ginya-yi wamba.
search 3SG.A-PST-3SG.IO DEM-DAT man
'He searched for that man [and found him].' (ibid.: 79)


## Disharmonic type

- Nyigina (Nyulnyulan, Australia; Stokes 1982
(27) a. yin-alga-na-da-yirr wamb manin. 3SG.A-eat-PST-HAB-3NSG.O woman
'He used to kill them, mon and women.' (ibid.: 391)
b. gadady yi-na-yina ginyawamba.
search 3SG.A-PST-3SG.IO DEM man
'He searched for that man [in vain].' (ibid.: 78)
c. gadady yi-na-yina ginya-yi wamba.
search 3SG.A-PST-3SG.IO DEM-DAT man
'He searched for that man [and found him].' (ibid.: 79)


## Disharmonic type

Special series of indexes for objects not directly affected by the event

- Nyigina (Nyulnyulan, Australia; Stokes 1982
(27) a. yin-alga-na-da-yirr wamb manin. 3SG.A-eat-PST-HAB-3NSG.O woman 'He used to kill them, mer and women.' (ibid.: 391)
b. gadady yi-na-yina ginya wamba. search 3SG.A-PST-3SG.IO DEM man 'He searched for that man [in vain].' (ibid.: 78)
c. gadady yi-na-yina
ginya-yi wamba. search 3SG.A-PST-3SG.IO

DEM-DAT IN
Such objects get Dative
flagging when
"attainable"

## Disharmonic type leaks

- McGregor (1990: 317) on Gooniyandi:

Figure 5-1: Pairing of phrase types and cross-referencing bound pronominals
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- McGregor (1990: 317) on Gooniyandi:

Figure 5-1: Pairing of phrase types and cross-referencing bound pronominals
Phrasal realisation "Case" of bound pronominal
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- McGregor (1990: 317) on Gooniyandi:

Figure 5-1: Pairing of phrase types and cross-referencing bound pronominals


## Disharmonic type leaks

- Harmonic subsystems in apparently disharmonic systems. - Wangkajunga (Pama-Nyungan > Desert Nyungic, Australia,


## Disharmonic type leaks

- Harmonic subsystems in apparently disharmonic systems.
- Wangkajunga (Pama-Nyungan > Desert Nyungic, Australia, Jones 2011):

| flagging | indexing |
| :---: | :---: |
| ERG (A) | Subject |
| ABS (S) | Subject |
| ABS (P, R) | Object |
| DAT (goal) $\longrightarrow$ Dative |  |
| LOC, ALL (human) | Accessory |
| ABL (human) $\longrightarrow$ Ablative |  |



## Disharmonic type leaks

- Wangkajunga (Pama-Nyungan > Desert Nyungic, Australia):
(28) a. tuju=ra wirrja-nin mirrka-ku talakutu-ku woman=3SG.DAT run-PRS food-DAT mango-DAT 'The woman is running for a mango.' (Jones 2011: 139)
b. ya-nku=lu-npula Jukuja-kutu go-FUT=3SG.ACS-2DU.SBJ name-ALL
'You two go to Jukuja (a person).' (ibid.: 140)
c. ya-nu=rna-janampalura Sydney-janu go-PST=1SG.SBJ-3PL.ABL name-ABL
'I left those people from Sydney.' (ibid.: 141)

ABL - ablative, ACS - accessory, ALL - allative

## The typology

- While one-to-one, one-to-many and many-to-many correspondences between flagging and indexing exist and should be distinguished, it is unclear that whole-language systems can be meaningfully classified into "harmonic" and "disharmonic" types.


## The typology

- While one-to-one, one-to-many and many-to-many correspondences between flagging and indexing exist and should be distinguished, it is unclear that whole-language systems can be meaningfully classified into "harmonic" and "disharmonic" types.
- A more adequate typology is needed, but I haven't yet decided how it should look like ©
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## Summary and outlook

- Dependent-marking is quite widespread in languages with rich head-marking, moreover, such languages tend to have rich case systems

HM tends to syntagmatically co-occur with DM, doublemarking of various kinds being more widespread than strict complementarity of HM and DM $\rightarrow$ disconfirms the contention that HM and DM are just different realisations of the same basic mechanism (cf. Kibrik 2012)
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## Summary and outlook

- Not infrequent situations when some grammatical role assumes both DM and HM, suggests that grammatical systems not only tolerate, but in some cases favour redundancy of encoding.
- At the same time, frequent mismatches between DM and HM clearly indicate that the two systems of encoding are shaped by distinct motivations.
- However, assuming that these motivations are consistent across languages would be premature.
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- Two emergent generalisations:
(1) Double-marking tends to be aligned with prominence scales:

The more animate/definite/topical is a participant, the greater is the probability that it receives both overt DM and overt HM.
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Quite robust, see Arkadiev 2013, 2016.

## Summary and outlook

- Two emergent generalisations:
(1) Double-marking tends to be aligned with prominence scales:

The more animate/definite/topical is a participant, the greater is the probability that it receives both overt DM and overt HM.

Quite robust for $P$ and $R$ (Arkadiev 2013, 2016), but seems to apply to a broader set of roles.

## Summary and outlook

- Two emergent generalisations:
(2) "D/H-harmony" tends to be aligned with obliqueness:

In languages with distinct paradigms of indexes for different types of objects, the more oblique arguments (e.g. recipients, comitatives, animate locations etc.) tend to show more consistent alignment of HM and DM than the less oblique ones.

## Summary and outlook

- Two emergent generalisations:
(2) "D/H-harmony" tends to be aligned with obliqueness:

In languages with distinct paradigms of indexes for different types of objects, the more oblique arguments (e.g. recipients, comitatives, animate locations etc.) tend to show more consistent alignment of HM and DM than the less oblique ones.

Remains to be checked if there is any significant amount of relevant cases outside of Australia.
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## Summary and outlook

- To-do list:
- revise (again) the existing database;
- expand the database, especially by including families and areas which so far remain underrepresented;
- find a meaningful way to code HM/DM for semantic roles;
- find a more meaningful way to code matches and mismatches between HM and DM and perhaps abolish the "harmonic" and "disharmonic" "types";
- stop somewhere and write the whole thing up :)

 -
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